UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/784,660	02/15/2001	M. Salahuddin Khan	N0084 US	2233
	7590 01/08/201 ATH AMERICA, LLC	EXAMINER		
425 West RANDOLPH STREET			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
SUITE 1200, PATENT DEPT CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/784,660	KHAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ANGELA A. ARMSTRONG	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be  red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,20-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)	<b>4</b> \ □   <sub>1745-1</sub>	DDL (PTO 412)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         <ul> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed February 24, 2009, amending claims 1 and 6. Currently claims 1-18 and 20-21 are pending.

## Response to Amendment

1. The Declaration filed on October 5, 2009, under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Tachimori and Nakaya references.

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the date of reduction to practice of the Nakaya or Tachimori reference to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice. Where conception occurs prior to the date of the reference, but reduction to practice is afterward, it is not enough merely to allege that applicant or patent owner had been diligent. Rather, applicant must show evidence of facts establishing diligence.

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the Tachimori or Nakaya reference. A declaration by the inventor to the effect that his or her invention was reduced to practice prior to the reference date, without a statement of facts demonstrating the correctness of this conclusion, is insufficient to satisfy 37 CFR 1.131. In general, proof of actual reduction to practice requires a showing that the apparatus actually existed and worked for its intended purpose.

Application/Control Number: 09/784,660 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

The declaration is insufficient as the declaration does not contain an allegation that the acts relied upon to establish the date prior to the reference or activity were carried out in this country or in a NAFTA country or WTO member country.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7, 14-15, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakisaka (US Patent No. 6,112,174) in view of Tachimori (US Patent No. 6,718,304) and further in view of Nakaya (PAJ 2000-074685).

Wakisaka discloses a recognition dictionary system structure and changeover method of speech recognition system for car navigation. Regarding claims 1, 7, 14, and 21, Wakisaka discloses a method of providing automatic speech recognition in a navigation system (col. 3, lines 19-23) comprising: determining a current position of a vehicle in which the navigation system is installed (col. 5, lines 9-34) and forming a new speech recognition list by adding names of geographic features located in proximity to the current position of the vehicle (Figures 3A and 3B; col. 6, line 57 to col. 7, line 7). Wakisaka teaches changing the dictionary based on the location of the vehicle but fails to teach the change is based on the distance exceeding a threshold. Tachimori teaches determining whether a distance from the current position of the vehicle to another position associated with a previous a speech recognition word list exceeds a threshold (col.8, lines 39-45). It would have been obvious to implement the teachings of Tachimori in the system of Wakisaka, since determining whether a distance from a current

Art Unit: 2626

nit: 2626

position to another position of a different recognition word list exceeds a threshold is a known technique used in a speech recognition navigation system for improving the word list updates/changes processing in navigation systems. Wakisaka and Tachimori does not teach, forming a new speech recognition word list by adding names of geographic features located in proximity to the current position of the vehicle to a plurality of words that correspond to a collection of geographic features selected without regard to proximity to the current position of the vehicle. Nakaya teaches a retrieval method for a mobile unit in a navigation system, in which names only of nationally noted places and facilities are stored in a partial data base for remote areas from the position of its own vehicle and names of places and facilities of low degree of note or the name of intersections are stored additionally in the partial data base for the peripheral areas. Data to be stored in the partial data base is updated by a data updating means depending on the positional variation of its own vehicle. This would have made it obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art of speech signal processing at the time of the invention to apply the method/teachings of Nakaya to the device/method of Wakisaka et al for the purpose of improving recognition rate, as suggested by Nakaya.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Wakisaka, Tachimori and Nakaya teaches the speech recognition word list contains a subset of all available names for geographic features located in a geographic area represented by a geographic database (col.5, lines 44-56).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Wakisaka, Tachimori and Nakaya teaches determining a location associated with the new speech recognition word list (col.5, lines 9-56).

Regarding claims 4, 8, and 15, the combination of Wakisaka, Tachimori and Nakaya teaches the plurality of words that correspond to the collection of geographic features selected

Application/Control Number: 09/784,660

Art Unit: 2626

without regard to proximity to the current position of the vehicle include popular or important destinations (Nakaya's nationally noted places and facilities).

Page 5

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Wakisaka, Tachimori and Nakaya teaches continuing to determine the current position of the vehicle as the vehicle travels along roads in a geographic area (Col.5, lines 9-56; col. 6, line 57 to col. 7, line 7; Figures 3A, 3B).

4. Claims 6, 9-13, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakisaka (US Patent No. 6,112,174) in view of Tachimori (US Patent No. 6,718,304).

Wakisaka discloses a recognition dictionary system structure and changeover method of speech recognition system for car navigation. Regarding claims 6, 12, and 20, Wakisaka discloses a method of providing automatic speech recognition (via a speech recognition system) in a navigation system (col. 3, lines19-23) comprising: determining a current position of a vehicle in which the navigation system is installed (col. 5, lines 9-34) and forming a new speech recognition list (via a software program in the CPU or microcomputer 703) by adding names of geographic features located in proximity to the current position of the vehicle (Figures 3A and 3B; col. 6, line 57 to col. 7, line 7). Wakisaka teaches changing the dictionary based on the location of the vehicle but fails to teach the change is based on the distance exceeding a threshold. Tachimori teaches determining whether a distance from the current position of the vehicle to another position associated with a previous a speech recognition word list exceeds a threshold (col.8, lines 39-45). It would have been obvious to implement the teachings of Tachimori in the system of Wakisaka, since determining whether a distance from a current position to another position of a different recognition word list exceeds a threshold is a known

technique used in a speech recognition navigation system for improving the word list updates/changes processing in navigation systems.

Regarding claims 9 and 13, the combination of Wakisaka and Tachimori teaches the speech recognition word list contains a subset of all available names for geographic features located in a geographic area represented by a geographic database (col.5, lines 44-56).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Wakisaka and Tachimori teaches continuing to determine the current position of the vehicle as the vehicle travels along roads in a geographic area (Col.5, lines 9-56; col. 6, line 57 to col. 7, line 7; Figures 3A, 3B).

Regarding claim 11, the combination of Wakisaka and Tachimori teaches determining a location associated with the new speech recognition word list (col.5, lines 9-56).

Regarding claims 16-18, the combination of Wakisaka and Tachimori teaches a geographic database (Figure 3B) and a spatial name index (Figures 3, 7; col. 9, lines 6-21).

## Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/784,660 Page 7

Art Unit: 2626

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ANGELA A. ARMSTRONG whose telephone number is

(571)272-7598. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 11:30-8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Angela A Armstrong/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626